



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/527,971	10/13/2005	Arid Vik	7439P001	7621
8791 7590 11/20/2009 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040				
EXAMINER				
BEST, ZACHARY P				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1795				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/20/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/527,971

Applicant(s)

VIK ET AL.

Examiner

Zachary Best

Art Unit

1795

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 32-40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 40 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 32-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/GS-08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20090728, 20090803

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

**POWER GENERATION APPARATUS COMPRISING FUEL CELL AND
REFORMING MODULE**

Examiner: Z. Best S.N. 10/527,971 Art Unit: 1795

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 28, 2009 has been entered. Claim 1 was amended. Claims 1 and 32-40 are currently pending examination. Claim 40 is withdrawn from further consideration.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

3. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

4. Claim 32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. Claim 32 recites that substantially nothing except hydrogen is fed

to the fuel cell. The fuel cell also requires an oxidant stream flowing into the cathode, and therefore the fuel cell cannot operate when substantially nothing except hydrogen is fed to the fuel cell.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

6. Claim 32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The instant specification does not reasonably convey an arrangement where substantially nothing except hydrogen is fed to the fuel cell.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of Claims 1, 32-34, and 38 as being unpatentable over Keefer et al. (US 2002/0142208 A1) are withdrawn because independent Claim 1 was amended.

6. Claims 1 and 32-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Demissie et al. (US 6,777,119 B2) in view of Yokota (US 2002/0085967 A1).

Regarding Claims 1 and 35-36, Demissie et al. teach a power generation apparatus (abstract) comprising a fuel cell (12) including an anode (16), a reforming module (18), which reforms a hydrocarbon fuel into hydrogen and other components, the apparatus being arranged so that said hydrogen is fed from the reforming module to the anode of the fuel cell (col. 3, lines 13-17), a recycling arrangement to recycle hydrogen in the outflow stream of the anode of the fuel cell back to the anode (28), and a controlling arrangement (50) to control the amount of hydrogen recycled and to tap off externally (34) hydrogen that is not recycled (col. 5, line 66 – col. 6, line 18, see also fig. 1). However, Demissie et al. fail to teach the said reforming module configured to separate said hydrogen from said other components.

Yokota teaches a process and apparatus for generating hydrogen and carbon dioxide, which may be used for a fuel cell (pars. 2, 7, and 59), wherein carbon dioxide is absorbed in to a form of metal carbonates (par. 63) in order to remove carbon dioxide from the hydrogen stream (par. 39). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create the electrical current generating system of Demissie et al. having the apparatus of Yokota wherein carbon dioxide is absorbed in to a form of metal carbonates because Yokota teaches it can remove carbon dioxide from the hydrogen stream. Alternatively, combining prior art elements according to

known methods to yield predictable results is obvious. *KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*, 550 U.S. ___, 82 USPQ2d 1385 (2007).

Regarding Claim 32, Demissie et al. teach hydrogen is fed to the anode (col. 1, lines 18-21).

Regarding Claim 33, Demissie et al. teach a removal arrangement to remove water from the outflow stream of the anode (col. 3, lines 50-60).

Regarding Claims 34 and 37, Yokota teaches a desorption module adapted to allow the release of carbon dioxide (par. 44).

Regarding Claim 38, Demissie et al. teach the reforming module is thermally integrated with the fuel cell (col. 3, lines 45-49, and fig. 1).

Regarding Claim 39, Yokota teaches the desorption module is thermally integrated with the fuel cell (par. 72).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachary Best whose telephone number is (571) 270-3963. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday, 7:30 - 5:00 (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dah-Wei Yuan can be reached on (571) 272-1295. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Zachary Best/
Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Dah-Wei D. Yuan/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795